

OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State

INDICATE: ☐ CHARGE TO: ☐

54

Origin
E

SS
G
SP
L
H
SAL
ARA
EUR
EA
P
IOP
NSC
INR
CIA
NSA
OSD
ARMY
NAVY
AER
NIG
CAB
FAA
TRSY
RMR

ACTION: Ambassador, PARIS TOPO 632 PRIORITY

INFO: Ambassador, ANKARA (by pouch)
Ambassador, ATHENS
Ambassador, BONN
Ambassador, BRUSSELS
Ambassador, COPENHAGEN
Ambassador, LISBON
Ambassador, LONDON 2187
Ambassador, LUXEMBOURG (by pouch)
Ambassador, MOSCOW
Ambassador, OSLO
Ambassador, OTTAWA 427
Ambassador, REYKJAVIK (by pouch)
Ambassador, ROME
Ambassador, STOCKHOLM
Ambassador, THE HAGUE

Deliver: Encl. 8 A.M. October 18

Ref: Depointel, 626

POLTO 451. POLAD Agenda. Item III. Responses of NATO govts. to bilateral US approaches indicate that,

while there will be sympathy US proposal and considerable positive support, it may be difficult to obtain unequivocal POLAD position in favor outright rejection any Soviet overflight or landing requests for aircraft destined Cuba. Key countries from practical viewpoint are UK and Canada through which flights are being handled.

UK and Canada presumably more likely take effective action if other NATO govts express positive

Drafted by: TRC:AV:MH:styles:ec 10/17/62

Telegraphic transmission and

EUR:RPM:Irwin M. Tobin

Check: S - Mr. Stoffel JNA - Mr. Bergman
RPM - Mr. Spielman JNA - Mr. Jallstad (substance)
SOV - Mr. Bowden (sub) TRC - Mr. Bolster
BNA - Mr. Hubbard

CONFIDENTIAL

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL

support US proposal.

Accordingly US rep should endeavor call forth as much support for US proposal as possible with aim of obtaining POLAD agreement as well as partial or agreement that QUOTE member countries will take appropriate steps to assure Soviets not able overfly or stop their territory on flights destined Cuba UNQUOTE. If agreement to neither possible, ZERO should report views expressed with its recommendations re further action.

If reps raise question of effect of denial Soviet requests on bilateral civil air transport relations with USSR, Department believes most cogent reply that contained refutal. We consider retaliation argument largely smoke screen without to our knowledge basis in actual past experience. It clearly evident present bilateral air transport relations with USSR based on full reciprocity, granting overflight rights to Soviets for Cuban flights, as in case UK (see London's 227 to Paris), has not yet resulted in reciprocity, e.g., permission for British aircraft overfly USSR to third countries.

END.

RUSK

CONFIDENTIAL

TRANSCRIBED COPY FOLLOWS

ACTION Amembassy, PARIS TOPOL

PRIORITY

INFO:	Amembassy, ANKARA	(by pouch)
	Amembassy, ATHENS	" "
	Amembassy, BONN	" "
	Amembassy, BRUSSELS	" "
	Amembassy, COPENHAGEN	" "
	Amembassy, LISBON	" "
	Amembassy, LONDON	2187
	Amembassy, LUXEMBOURG	(by pouch)
	Amembassy, MOSCOW	" "
	Amembassy, OSLO	" "
	Amembassy, OTTAWA	477
	Amembassy, REYKYAVIK	(by pouch)
	Amembassy, ROME	" "
	Amembassy, STOCKHOLM	" "
	Amembassy, THE HAGUE	" "

Deliver: Farley 8 A.M. October 18.

Ref: Depcirtel 626.

POLTO 451. POLAD Agenda. Item III

Responses of NATO govts to bilateral US approaches indicate that, while there will be sympathy US proposal and considerable positive support, it may be difficult obtain unequivocal POLAD position in favor outright rejections any Soviet overflight or landing requests for aircraft destined Cuba. Key countries from practical viewpoint are UK and Canada through which flights so far performed.

[Excised] UK and Canada
presumably more likely take effective action if other NATO
govts express positive

support US approved.

Accordingly US rep should endeavor call forth as much support for US proposal as possible with aim of obtaining POLAD agreement as put for the reftel or agreement that QUOTE member countries will take [illegible] hope to assure Soviets not able overfly or stop their territory on flights destined Cuba UNQUOTE. If agreement to neither is possible, USRO should report views expressed with its recommendations re further actions.

If reps raise question of effect of denial Soviet requests on bilateral civil air transport relations with USSR. Department believes most cogent reply that to our knowledge basis in actual past experience. It clearly evident present bilateral air transport relations with USSR based on full reciprocity granting overflight rights to Soviets for Cuban flights, as in case UK (see London's 227 to Paris), has not rpt [illeg] resulted in reciprocity, e.g., permission for British aircraft overfly USSR to third countries.

END.